IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
***Pro Se* Non-Prisoner Complaint Form**

2016 JAN 20 P 1:47

*[Enter the full name of the plaintiff in this action]*

Glynndanvial Von Fox

Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-00188-RMG-MGB
*(to be assigned by Clerk)*

v.

*[Enter the full name of each defendant in this action. If possible, please list only one defendant per line.]*

College of Charleston

***If allowed by statute, do you wish to have a trial by jury?* Yes _____ No _____**

*[If any answer requires additional space, please use additional paper and attach hereto.]*

**I. PREVIOUS LAWSUITS**

A. *Have you begun other lawsuits in state or federal court dealing with the same facts involved in this action?*

Yes _______ No ______

B. *If your answer to A is Yes, describe the lawsuit in the space below. [If more than one lawsuit, describe on another sheet of paper using the same outline.]*

1. *Parties to this previous lawsuit:*
   *Plaintiff:* ______
   *Defendant(s):* ______
2. *Court:* ______

*(If federal court, name the district; if state court, name the county)*

3. *Docket Number:* ______________________________

4. *Name(s) of Judge(s) to whom case was assigned:* ______________

5. *Status of Case:* ______________________________
*(For example, was the case dismissed? Settled? Appealed? Still Pending?)*

6. *Date lawsuit was filed:* ______________________________

7. *Date of disposition (if concluded):* ______________________

C. *Do you have any other lawsuit(s) pending in the federal court in South Carolina?*

Yes _______ No _______

## II. PARTIES

*In Item A below, place your name and address in the space provided. [If additional plaintiffs, do the same on another sheet of paper.]*

A. Name of Plaintiff: ______________________________

Address: ______________________________

*In Item B below, place the full name of the defendant, and his/her/its address, in the space provided. Use Item C for additional defendants, if any.*

B. Name of Defendant: ______________________________

Address: ______________________________

C. *Additional Defendants (provide the same information for each defendant as listed in Item B above):*

______________________________

______________________________

______________________________

______________________________

______________________________

______________________________

______________________________

______________________________

## III. STATEMENT OF CLAIM

*State here, as briefly as possible, the facts of your case. Describe how each defendant is involved.*

*Include also the name(s) of other persons involved, dates, and places.* ***Do not give any legal arguments or cite any cases or statutes.*** *If you intend to allege a number of related claims, number and set forth each claim in a separate paragraph. Use as much space as you need. Attach extra sheets of paper if necessary.*

See Attached

**The Legal Issues with The College of Charleston**

1. From the first entrance into the College of Charleston with two Phd recommendations for entrance, one from Dr. Christopher Boucher and Dr. Eric Poplin, I felt as though I could accomplish the degree program successfully. I attended courses just like any other person, and I received high grades that matched the Associates in the Arts degree that I received from a feeder college to the College of Charleston. I even received some 4.0 grades in courses like Mock Trial, and had the writing center look over my writing with no issues. The issue with the College of Charleston towards intimidation, stopping a federal service, denying my freedom of education, and civil rights violations based on race and national origin started with a course with Dr. Kea Gordon in political science. I was a political science and classics major who was trying to get to the Ivy league, and not have to take lower level classics courses based on the Yale University's website. Dr. Kea Gordon told me that my writing was not up to par with the college, that I showed up late, and I should consider dropping the course. This is a complete 180 from what the writing center, my other courses performance, and my other professors were saying. I confronted Dr. Kea Gordon over the issue, she did not like the discussion, and she got irate regarding the issue. I suspected that this was over my national origin name or my Native American status, as the College of Charleston is known throughout the area to have issues with Native Americans. I showed a document through email that I was working on

with Brockington and Associate towards a federal recognition process with the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and Dr. Kea Gordon acknowledged the email. After that she dropped me as her advisee in the political science department, and gave me a low mark in her course through a paper that was at least a 3.0 ability. As the low marks would have gotten me in an issue with performance requirements with the College of Charleston and my federal direct student loans.

2. The next issue that I had was with Dr. Mary Desjeans in a counter terrorism course with an understanding of intimidation, violation of freedom of education, stopping a federal service, and stopping a federal process. The issue was with the writing and exams in the counter terrorism course, and again the writing center is saying that there is nothing wrong with the writing. I know that the exams that I took were done in a proper manner towards the training that I received in the USAF as a JTAC with numerous contact with 1st Special Forces Group and the 2nd Ranger Battalion at Fort Lewis (It is know Joint Base Lewis-McChord.) with deployments to the Middle East, and contact with Asia and Europe. She persisted to give low marks in the course, and was not amused at the fact that I was spending a lot of money for something that was being manipulated. This is when I started the process for the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) through a recruiting seminar during the counter terrorism course in class, and numerous students can attest to this. I also went to the actual recruiting selected meeting with a CIA

recruiter from Pawley's Island, SC that was introduced in the course during the seminar, and Dr. Mary DesJeans said that they were close friends at the CIA. After the recruiting seminar and the submission of my application to the CIA Dr. Mary Desjeans started to give me grades that were a low mark, and in the inability to access the federal government application process in consideration of a 3.0 GPA. This is the issue that I had with Dr. Mary DesJeans, and the attempts on my ability to not only access education freely from a public university, but with the stopping of a federal service through federal direct student loans and a federal process in the recruitment by the CIA.

3. The next issue that I have is with Dr. Douglas Friedman and Dr. Phillip Jos (My advisor as well.) during the Fall of 2012. The first is with Dr. Douglas Friedman and the outright refusal to grade my research paper. I scheduled a time to submit my research paper and he agreed to the date, and then when I submitted the research paper he refused to grade the paper. I had been having troubles with race and national origin with the other professors in the Political Science department, I felt that Dr. Douglas Friedman was in the same light, as we had had discussions about race related issues concerning Native Americans. The refusal of the graded research paper also came within the period of inability to withdraw from courses, so it would seem that Dr. Douglas Friedman was being strategic with my grades in order to manipulate my GPA. This caused me great issues with accessing a federal service for federal direct

student loans and accessing a federal process with the CIA for employment. The issue with Dr. Phillip Jos is a same situation as the rest of them, and I think that the issue is more driven with the inclusion of being my advisor as well. Dr. Phillip Jos's demeanor changed once I accessed Dr. Kea Gordon with the federal petition for the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and with him I had always been announced as a Native American recognized by the State of South Carolina. Dr. Phillip Jos continued to intimidate me with my grading, and again the writing center says there is nothing wrong with my writing. I have to deal with the constant intimidation to withdraw from the course, he is the person that advised me to take the course, and he has control of my program of study. I went to withdraw from all of courses for the semester, and this is were Dr. Phillip Jos and myself got into an argument in his office on a scheduled appointment. I had the paperwork for late withdrawal that every other professor had signed freely including Dr. Douglas Friedman, and Dr. Phillip Jos refused to sign it. These issues caused me to have an issue with the stopping of a federal service, intimidation, civil rights violations based on race and national origin, and a federal process.

**4.** The issues that Dr. Phillip Jos had with me in his office caused me to have a trial process with the College of Charleston and Dr. Jeri Cabot. I am a first generation college or university student, and I did not understand the process that Dr. Jeri Cabot kept dodging around through missed appointments or lack there of making an appointment. So, I filed with the

Department of Justice (DOJ) under a federal complaint process, and was referred to Martha Russo, Esq. with the Department of Education OCR Complaint No. 11-13-2052 (Which was appealed on 23 January 2014 when I returned from Tokyo, Japan, and I was under the US State Departments control for US federal issues the entire time in Tokyo, Japan. I have not received a response from Alice Wender at the US Department of Education as of date.). Dr. Jeri Cabot intended on telling me the outcome of the Honor Board Judicial System in an email before the outcome was given by the unlicensed in the State of South Carolina or Federal system student judge, who determines my access to the US Constitution and civil rights, through federal access to programs and services. This is a violation of US Constitutional protected rights to illegal search and seizure of my transcripts as well, and my ability to access other universities for transfer through non-releasing of my transcripts. This has caused me a great deal of anguish, my family anguish, my business anguish, and my acceptance of a federal process through the CIA anguish. The most detail that is given to this issue is the intimidation of my civil rights in the matter. I currently have a 3.43 at ASU in Political Science/History, and ASU has a 800 to 900 million dollar endowment as a public research institution with contracts or co-operatives with government agencies like NASA and CIA.

III. **STATEMENT OF CLAIM** - ***continued.***

SEE ATTACHED

## What I Would Like the Court to Do

I would like the court to prosecute the matter with the College of Charleston. I would also like to have my transcripts amended to reflect the proper GPA with the late withdrawal in effect, and any such grade changes that the court sees fit to give. This would be in preparation for the LSAT, and the submitting of applications to Ivy League and regular university's like UCLA, NYU, UGA…etc, etc. If awarded an amount in the matter, I would like to receive the amount of 6.1 million in USD or equal amount in real estate property in the United Kingdom with Harrod Estates in Belgravia within the cities of London and Westminster.

## IV. RELIEF.

*State briefly and exactly what you want this court to do for you.*

See Attachments

***I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.***

Signed this 14 day of January, 2016.

*Signature of Plaintiff*